NC STATE UNIVERSITY

College of Agriculture and Life Sciences Extension Poultry Science Scott Hall/Campus Box 7608 Raleigh, North Carolina 27695

919-515-2621 (phone) 919-515-7070 (fax)

# SINGLE PRODUCTION CYCLE REPORT OF THE THIRTY SIXTH

#### NORTH CAROLINA LAYER PERFORMANCE

#### AND MANAGEMENT TEST

Vol. 36, No. 4 February 2007

The North Carolina Layer Performance and Management Test is conducted under the auspices of the Cooperative Extension Service at North Carolina State University and the North Carolina Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. The flock is maintained at the Piedmont Research Station, Salisbury, North Carolina. Mr. Joe Hampton is the Piedmont Research Station Superintendent; Mr. Aaron Sellers is Resident Manager of the flock; Pam Jenkins is the Statistical Research Assistant; and Dr. K. E. Anderson is Project Leader. The purpose of this program is to assist poultry industry personnel in North Carolina, across the country, and internationally in the evaluation of commercial layer stocks and management systems.

The data presented herein represents the analysis of the first production cycle and molt of the 36th North Carolina Layer Performance and Management Test. Performance summary tables are available for each strain, and molt treatment used as well as for the combined results. You can view this report on our website at <a href="http://www.ces.ncsu.edu/depts/poulsci/tech\_manuals/layer\_reports/36">http://www.ces.ncsu.edu/depts/poulsci/tech\_manuals/layer\_reports/36</a> single cycle report.pdf

#### For further information contact:

Dr. Kenneth E. Anderson
Poultry Science Department
North Carolina State University

Box 7608

Raleigh, NC 27695-7608 Tel: (919) 515-5527 Fax: (919) 515-7070

Email: ken anderson@ncsu.edu

The use of trade names in this publication does not imply endorsement by the North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service of the products named nor criticism of similar ones not mentioned.

### 36th NORTH CAROLINA LAYER PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT TEST Protocol Procedures Used

#### **Entries:**

A total of nine white egg and three brown egg strains were accepted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the test.

#### **Dates of Importance:**

The eggs for the 36th NCLP&MT were set on March 8, 2005 at the North Carolina Dept. of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Piedmont Research Station, Poultry Unit at Salisbury, NC. The flock was hatched on March 29, 2005 and the pullets were moved to the laying facilities on July 21 to July 26, 2005 during their 17th week of age. The age of the flock at transfer was lowered to reflect current trends in the industry and requests of the breeders to move the flock prior to onset of egg production in the rearing houses.

Single cycle production records commenced on July 27, 2005 (17 weeks of age), through October 25, 2006 (82 weeks of age). This report includes production data summarized from 17 to 82 weeks (574 days).

#### **Pullet Housing:**

The chicks were randomly assigned to the growing cages with white egg and brown egg replicates being intermingled throughout the house. The white egg strains occupied approximately 75 % of the house and brown egg strains occupied the other 25 % of the house. All strains were assigned to be represented as equally as possible in each room, row, and cage level.

House 8--is an environmentally controlled closed brood-grow facility with 3 banks of quad-deck cages in each room. Each room has been assigned a number, each side of each bank has been assigned a row number, and each cage section within each row and level/row has been assigned a replicate number. For statistical analysis, pairs of rows have been designated as blocks. Thus, each block consists of two rows containing 24 replicates (i.e. approximately 3 reps/strain) on all levels resulting in a randomized incomplete block. This allows for a total of 3,744 pullets per room. Rooms 2 to 4 were used for the 36<sup>th</sup> NCLP&MT resulting in a total pullet count in House 8 of 11,232. The white and brown-egg strains were randomly assigned to three replicates within each block in the house. Entrant strains were assigned to the blocks in a restricted randomized manner with the restrictions being that all strains were approximately equally represented in all rows, levels, and rooms. All pullets were brooded and grown in the same cage during the entire 16 wk rearing period. Paper was placed on the cage floor for the first 7 days within each of the replicate series within each row. Each cage within the replicate was filled with 13 white-egg or brown-egg (13 per 24" x 26" cage) pullets on the day of hatch for a rearing allowance of 48 in<sup>2</sup> (310 cm<sup>2</sup>). Even though the room dividers were in place between the rooms for this test, the environmental conditions were maintained the same in each room so that all birds were essentially reared in a contiguous house. Pullet nutrition and husbandry practices are published in the Pullet Rearing Report (Vol. 36, No. 2).

#### **Layer Housing:**

The hens were randomly assigned to the replicate cages with white egg and brown egg strains being intermingled throughout the houses. The white egg strains occupied 75% of the house and brown egg strains occupied the other 25%. All strains were assigned to be represented as equally as possible in the first 2 rows of cages on all levels.

**House 5** is a standard height totally enclosed force ventilated laying house with a scraper pit manure handling system. It has 2 banks of triple deck cages and two banks with 4 levels of cages. The replicates are equipped with feed hoppers to supply and monitor feed consumption for each individual replicate and the feed is distributed by an automatic feeding system. Again, each side of a bank was designated as a row and each row was divided into 9 8-foot replicates/level. There are a total of 72 replicates in rows 1 and 2 in house 5 which can support 1,728 hens. The cage density is dictated by the cage size in each replicate that contain cages that were either 30.5 or 40.6 cm wide and 40.6 cm deep. Thus the cages that were 30.5 and 40.6 cm cages allowed for a constant density of 64 in<sup>2</sup> (413 cm<sup>2</sup>), at 3 or 4 hens/cage, respectively. The white-egg and brown-egg strains were assigned to the replicates in a restricted randomized manner,

with the restrictions being that all strains were approximately equally represented in all rows, levels and cage sizes.

**House 7** is a standard height windowless enclosed force ventilated house with battery cages. The cages consist of 4 rows of a Tri-Deck Stacked Layer Cage System. There is 60' of cage row with each side being designated a row. Each row is divided into six 10' cage row sections consisting of 4 cages /section with a 24" space between cage sections for feed hoppers and feed recovery. The waste collection system consists of manure belt cleaning. This configuration provides 36 replicates for used in this report each consisting of 4 - 24" x 20" cages which held 7 hens at 68 in² for a total of 28 hens/replicate and a house total of 1,008 hens. The feeder system was designed to allow for automatic feeding and the collection of individual replicate feed consumption records. White-egg and brown-egg strains were assigned to the replicates in a restricted randomized manner, with the restrictions being that all strains were approximately equally represented in all rows, and levels.

**Laying House and Molting Lighting Schedules:** 

Age	Date	House 5	House 7
		(Light Hours)	(Light Hours)
Housing Pullets	July 20-26,2005	10.0	10.0
17 Weeks <sup>1</sup>	July 27, 2005	11.0	11.0
18 Weeks	August 3, 2005	11.5	11.5
19 Weeks	August 9, 2005	12.0	12.0
20 Weeks	August 16, 2005	12.5	12.5
21 Weeks	August 23, 2005	13.0	13.0
22 Weeks	August 30, 2005	13.5	13.5
23 Weeks	September 6, 2005	14.0	14.0
24 Weeks	September 13, 2005	14.25	14.25
25 Weeks	September 20, 2005	14.5	14.5
26 Weeks	September 28, 2005	14.75	14.75
27 Weeks	October 4, 2005	15.0	15.0
28 Weeks	October 11, 2005	15.25	15.25
29 Weeks	October18, 2005	15.5	15.5
30 Weeks	October 25, 2005	15.75	15.75
31 Weeks	November 1, 2005	16.0	16.0
Through 82 Weeks	October 25, 2006	16.0	16.0

#### **Test Design:**

The laying test was arranged as a completely randomized factorial design. The main effects within House 5 were strain, and population, however, in House 7 was strain only. Following are general descriptions of the main effects and other housing conditions.

### Strain

The samples of fertile hatching eggs were provided directly by the breeders involved. All eggs were set and hatched concurrently at the Piedmont Research Station. A total of nine white egg strains and three brown egg strains were included in the test. See the 36th Hatch Report (Vol. 36, No. 1) for details.

#### Density

In House 5, all individual cages within each block contained either brown or white egg layers. Thus each replicate included 24 hens in 30.5 x 40.6 cm cages for 8 cages with 3 hens/cage or 40.6 x 40.6 cm cages for 6 cages with 4 hens/cage. Cage densities were held constant at 413 cm² (64 in²) for the two cage dimensions to approximate the poultry welfare guidelines that were developed by the United Egg Producers as closely as possible. The initial population sizes provided for a constant density and feeder space allocation. Thus, all of the birds in this test had the same density and feeder space. Thus, density and feeder space were not factors in this test.

#### Population and Density Allocations in House 5.

White and Brown Hens per Cage	Cage Size Width Depth	Floor Space per Bird	Feeder Space per Bird	Water Nipples per Cage
3	30.5 cm x 40.7 cm	413 cm <sup>2</sup> (64 in <sup>2</sup> )	10.2 cm 4.0 in	1
4	40.7 cm x 40.7 cm	413 cm <sup>2</sup> (64 in <sup>2</sup> )	10.2 cm 4.0 in	1

In House 7, all individual cages within each block contained either brown or white egg layers. Each replicate consisted of 28 hens/replicate, with the hens being contained in 4 cages measuring 61 x 50.8 cm (24" x 20"). Each cage held 7 hens at 439 cm² (68 in²). These cage dimensions represent the commercial animal welfare guidelines. The initial population sizes provided for a constant density and feeder space allocation. Therefore, density and feeder space were not factors in this experiment.

### **Layer Nutrition:**

Layer diets were identified as Diets D, E, F, G, H, I, M, N, and O which consist of a pre-lay diet and a series of layer diets formulated to assure a daily protein, mineral and amino acid intake as shown below. Feed was offered ad libitum in accordance with the guidelines that all birds should receive acceptable nutrient intake at all times depending on the bird's age and production rate as shown in the Laying House Feeding Program Table.

weight with no egg production.

Minimum Daily Intake of Nutrients Per Bird at Various Stages of Production in the 36<sup>th</sup> NCLP&MT

Production Stage	Pre-Peak	87-80%	80-70%	<70%	
_	> 87%				
White Egg Layers					
Protein <sup>1</sup> (g/day)	19	18	17	16	
Calcium (g/day)	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.3	
Lysine (mg/day	820	780	730	690	
TSAA (mg)day)	700	670	630	590	
Brown Egg Layers					
Protein <sup>1</sup> (g/day)	20	19	18	17	
Calcium (g/day)	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.2	
Lysine (mg/day	830	820	780	730	
TSAA (mg)day)	710	700	670	630	

If the egg production is higher than predicted values protein intake should be increased by 1% Note: House temperatures dictate the body maintenance demand of the hen if the house temperature is 75 to 80°F feed protein content should be increased accordingly to compensate for metabolic heat needed to maintain a homeostatic body temperature. If the house temperature is at or above 85°F no adjustment is needed.

## LAYING HOUSE FEEDING PROGRAM

	Consumption Per	Die	et Fed
	100 Birds/Day	White Egg	Brown Egg
Rate of Production	(kg)	Strains	Strains
Weeks 17-26	< 9.52	D	D
Pre-Peak and > 87%	< 9.52	D	D
	9.57-10.39	F	E
	10.43-11.29	Н	G
	11.34-12.20	I	Н
	12.25-13.11	M	I
	>13.15	N	M
80-87%	< 9.52	F	E
	9.57-10.39	G	F
	10.43-11.29	I	Н
	11.34-12.20	M	I
	12.25-13.11	N	M
	>13.15	0	N
70-80%	< 9.52	Н	G
	9.57-10.39	I	Н
	10.43-11.29	M	I
	11.34-12.20	N	M
	12.25-13.11	O	N
	>13.15	0	0
< 70%	< 9.52	Н	G
	9.57-10.39	I	H
	10.43-11.29	N	M
	11.34-12.20	0	N
	12.25-13.11	O	O
	>13.15	O	O

Note: Low house temperatures and egg production higher than breeder guides for any given hen age will require an adjustment to the dietary phase feeding program to ensure the hens are in a positive nutrient status.

### LAYING PERIOD DIETS

Ingredients	D	Е	F	G	Н
Corn	866.71	925.46	997.91	1068.19	1131.97
Soybean meal	663.18	621.10	552.33	499.80	457.65
Wheat Midds					
Fat (Tallow)	110.88	102.43	87.73	74.61	64.32
Gluten Meal 60%	95.83	88.37	100.00	99.23	90.80
D.L. Methionine	3.08	2.89	2.52	2.26	2.48
Lysine 78.8%					
Soybean Hulls					
Ground Limestone	132.42	133.70	135.07	134.02	132.50
Coarse Limestone	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00
Bi-Carbonate	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Phosphate Mono/D	36.77	34.73	32.84	30.36	28.79
Salt	6.00	5.99	5.95	5.93	5.92
Vit. premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Min. premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Mold Inhibitor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
T-Premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
.06% Selenium Premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Choline Cl 60%	2.14	2.33	2.65	2.59	2.57
Calculated Analysis					
Calculated Analysis	22.0	21.0	20.00	10.00	10.0
Protein %	22.0	21.0	20.00	19.00	18.0
ME kcal/kg	2926.0	2926.0	2926.0	2926.0	2926.0
Calcium %	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.40	4.35
T. Phos. %	0.71	0.68	0.65	0.61	0.59
Lysine %	1.15	1.09	1.00	0.93	0.87
TSAA %	0.89	0.85	0.81	0.77	0.75

## LAYING PERIOD DIETS

Ingredients	I	M	N	0
Corn	1199.47	1258.28	1309.81	1371.93
Soybean meal	406.08	363.91	340.24	333.87
Wheat Midds				
Fat (Tallow)	52.26	43.80	38.85	14.71
Gluten Meal 60%	89.84	82.64	61.54	25.79
D.L. Methionine	2.02	1.62	1.75	1.80
Lysine 78.8%				
Soybean Hulls				
Ground Limestone	158.82	160.10	161.33	167.71
Coarse Limestone	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00
Bi-Carbonate	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Phosphate Mono/D	26.79	24.75	22.60	20.30
Salt	5.90	5.89	5.89	5.89
Vit. premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Min. premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Mold Inhibitor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
T-Premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
.06% Selenium Premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Choline Cl 60%	0.83	1.02		
Calculated Analysis				
Protein %	17.00	16.00	15.00	14.00
ME kcal/kg	2926.0	2926.0	2926.0	2860.0
Calcium %	4.35	4.35	4.35	4.45
T. Phos. %	0.56	0.52	0.49	0.47
Lysine %	0.80	0.74	0.70	0.68
TSAA %	0.70	0.65	0.62	0.58

#### **Data Collection Schedule and Procedures:**

<u>Egg Production</u>--All eggs that had the potential of being marketed were credited toward the test unit's (replicate) egg production, regardless of the shell condition at the time of collection. All eggs were collected and recorded daily. Egg production was summarized at twenty-eight day intervals, and was calculated and reported on a hen-day basis.

Egg Weight--At twenty-eight day intervals, all eggs produced in the previous 24-hour period were weighed and sorted by size (See egg size distribution). Percentages of eggs within each size category, average egg weight (g), and egg mass (g) were calculated and reported.

Egg Quality--At twenty-eight day intervals, all eggs produced within the previous 24 hours were examined by candling light and graded according to current USDA standards for egg quality. Eggs were graded in the pilot processing facility and handled as they would be in a commercial off-line facility.

<u>Egg Price</u>--Egg income was calculated using three-year regional average prices for farm value of eggs based on egg production and quality evaluation.

<u>Feed Consumption</u>--All feed offered for consumption was recorded for each replicate. At twenty-eight day intervals, feed not consumed was weighed back and feed consumption was calculated. Daily feed intake (kg/100 hens/day) was calculated and reported for each strain. Feed costs were based on the actual feed prices for each feed delivery which were calculated and summarized for the complete production cycle.

Mortality--All mortalities were recorded daily, and obvious accidents were not included in reported mortalities.

### **Statistical Analyses and Separation of Means:**

Analyses of variance were performed on all data. Separate analyses were conducted for white and brown egg strains. Significant differences (P < 0.01) within white and brown egg strains are noted by differing letters among columns of means. All data were subjected to ANOVA utilizing the GLM procedure of SAS, with the main effect of strain. First and second order interactions were tested for significance. Mean differences were separated via the PDIFF option of the GLM procedure.

#### DESCRIPTION OF DATA TABLE STATISTICS

Single cycle performance of white and brown egg strains are shown on Tables 1 to 12.

#### **Breeder (Strain):**

Short identification codes of the breeder and strain of the stock were developed. See more complete information following data tables in Table 13.

#### Hen Housed Eggs per Bird:

The total number of eggs produced divided by the number of birds housed at 119 days.

## **Hen Day Egg Production:**

The average daily number of eggs produced per 100 hens per day.

#### **Egg Mass:**

The average daily production of egg mass in grams per hen day.

#### **Mortality:**

The percentage of birds which died between 119 through 462 days of age and 462 through 490 which occurred during the molt period are reported separately

### **Feed Consumption:**

The kilograms of feed consumed daily per 100 hens (housed or hen days).

### **Feed Conversion:**

The grams of egg produced per gram of feed consumed.

## **Egg Weight:**

The average egg weight (gms) for each period sampled. Weight of all eggs collected from previous 24 hours divided by the number of eggs collected.

#### **Egg Income:**

The calculated income per hen housed at 119 days, from egg production using three-year regional average egg prices 2003 to 2006.

#### Three Year Regional Average Egg Prices

·		
Grade	Size	\$\$/Dozen
A	Extra Large	0.872
A	Large	0.839
A	Medium	0.669
A	Small	0.519
A	Pee Wee	0.260
В	All	0.445
Checks	All	0.445

#### **Grade Information:**

The average grade of all eggs sampled according to USDA grading standards over all sampling periods. Grades are established by personnel trained in USDA grading standards.

#### **Egg Size Distribution:**

The following size classifications were used for establishing the USDA egg size grading. There has been blending of egg size in this test with the weight cutoff between medium and large being 23.5 ounces/doz. This maximizes the number of USDA large eggs just as would occur in a commercial plant. The proportion of the eggs falling into the following size categories are reported in the tables.

USDA Egg Weights Used To Establish The Egg Size Distribution Weighted for Large Eggs.

Size Category	Ounces/Dozen
Pee Wee	< 18
Small	18 - 21
Medium	21 - 23.5
Large	23.5 - 27
Extra Large	> 27

## **Feed Cost:**

The calculated feed cost per hen housed at 119 days, using the pounds/diet consumed and the average price of each diet per ton.

## The Average Contract Feed Price For Feed Purchases.

<u>Diets</u>	Price Per Ton
D	198.4
E	195.0
F	190.4
G	186.4
Н	182.0
I	175.4
M	170.4
N	165.0
0	158.6

## **Metric Conversions:**

1  lb = 453.6  g	1 g = .03527 oz
1  lb = .4536  kg	1  kg = 2.204  lb
1  oz = 28.35  g	1  g = 1000  mg
	1  kg = 1000  g

TABLE 1. EFFECT OF WHITE EGG STRAIN AND POPULATION ON PERFORMANCE OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN STAIR-STEP CAGES (HOUSE 5)

			,	Eggs	,		
		Feed	Feed	Per Bird	Egg	Egg	
Breeder	Population <sup>1</sup>	Consumption	Conversion	Housed	Production	Mass	Mortality
(Strain)	-	(kg/100/hen/d)	(g egg/g feed)		(HD%)	(g/HD)	(%)
LSL-Lite	3	11.7	0.46	366.9	85.9	55.6	14.6
White	4	10.8	0.47	374.3	82.6	53.2	4.9
	Average	11.3 <sup>AB</sup>	0.46	370.6	84.2	54.4 <sup>ABC</sup>	9.8
Bovans	3	10.5	0.49	369.8	82.8	54.3	7.6
White Exp.	4	10.8	0.48	360.3	81.6	54.6	8.3
	Average	$10.7^{BC}$	0.49	365.0	82.2	$54.4^{AB}$	8.0
Bovans	3	11.9	0.45	365.3	88.0	56.3	19.7
White	4	11.8	0.45	354.1	85.2	54.3	20.5
	Average	11.8 <sup>A</sup>	0.45	359.7	86.6	55.3 <sup>A</sup>	20.1
DeKalb	3	10.7	0.47	375.0	83.5	52.5	4.7
White Exp.	4	10.7	0.48	368.6	83.9	53.6	10.4
_	Average	$10.7^{BC}$	0.48	371.8	83.7	53.0 <sup>ABC</sup>	7.5
DeKalb	3	11.0	0.47	346.7	83.6	54.2	17.7
White	4	10.6	0.49	363.7	83.2	54.3	14.9
	Average	$10.8^{B}$	0.48	355.2	83.4	54.3 <sup>ABC</sup>	16.3
Hy-Line	3	10.0	0.49	369.0	81.3	51.3	2.1
(W-36)	4	10.0	0.49	361.1	80.0	50.7	7.0
	Average	$10.0^{\mathrm{C}}$	0.49	365.0	80.7	51.0 <sup>C</sup>	4.5
Hy-Line	3	11.6	0.46	371.9	82.6	55.4	8.3
(W-98)	4	10.9	0.48	359.7	81.3	53.9	7.0
	Average	11.3 <sup>AB</sup>	0.47	365.8	82.0	54.7 <sup>AB</sup>	7.6
CV-20	3	10.2	0.48	362.8	81.6	51.6	6.3
	4	9.5	0.51	370.1	82.1	51.4	4.4
	Average	9.9 <sup>C</sup>	0.50	366.5	81.8	51.5 <sup>BC</sup>	5.3
ISA	3	10.1	0.49	365.2	83.3	52.6	14.3
White Exp.	4	10.1	0.49	363.8	82.3	52.2	10.0
_	Average	10.1 <sup>C</sup>	0.49	364.5	82.8	52.4 <sup>BC</sup>	12.1
All Strains	3	10.9	0.47	365.8	83.6	53.7	10.6
	4	10.6	0.48	364.0	82.5	53.1	9.7

<sup>1</sup>All strains were housed at a constant density of: 413 cm<sup>2</sup> equals 64 in<sup>2</sup>.

A,B,C - Different letters denote significant differences (P<.01), comparisons made among strain average values.

TABLE 2. EFFECT OF WHITE EGG STRAIN AND POPULATION ON EGG WEIGHT AND EGG SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN STAIR-STEP CAGES (HOUSE 5)

(Strain)         (g egg/hen/d)         (%)			Egg	Pee				Extra
LSL-Lite 3 61.6 0.0 3.4 4.5 21.0 71.1 White 4 61.2 0.0 2.7 5.0 21.9 70.4 Average 61.4 0.0 3.0 4.7 21.5 0.0 70.7 ABC 2.7 AB	Breeder	Population <sup>1</sup>	Weight	Wee	Small	Medium	Large	Large
White         4         61.2 Average         0.0         2.7 S.0         21.9 T.0.4 Average         70.4 T.0.7 ABC           Bovans         3         62.7 O.1 I.5 S.3.8 S.3.8 T.0.5 Average         3.8 S.2.3.8 T.0.5 S.0.0 S.0.1 S.3.8 S.0.1 S.9 S.0.7 T.0.0 S.0.0 S.0.1 S.0.0	(Strain)		(g egg/hen/d)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Bovans 3 62.7 0.1 1.5 3.8 23.8 70.5 White Exp. 4 63.7 0.0 1.8 3.6 13.9 80.7 Average 63.2 Market Exp. 4 60.8 0.0 1.6 3.7 18.8 CD 75.6 MB White Exp. 4 60.8 0.0 3.2 6.1 29.8 60.7 Average 60.9 CD 0.0 2.1 6.0 28.8 MBC 62.8 CD Example 60.5 DeKalb 3 60.0 0.1 2.1 5.2 25.1 67.3 Average 60.5 DeKalb 3 61.7 0.0 2.4 6.0 27.5 MBC 63.7 MC	LSL-Lite	3	61.6	0.0	3.4	4.5	21.0	71.1
Bovans 3 62.7 0.1 1.5 3.8 23.8 70.5 White Exp. 4 63.7 0.0 1.8 3.6 13.9 80.7 Average 63.2^AB 0.0 1.6 3.7 18.8^CD 75.6^AB  Bovans 3 61.0 0.0 1.1 6.0 27.9 64.4 White 4 60.8 0.0 3.2 6.1 29.8 60.7 Average 60.9^CD 0.0 2.1 6.0 28.8^ABC 62.6^BCD  DeKalb 3 60.0 0.0 2.8 6.9 30.0 60.1 White Exp. 4 61.0 0.1 2.1 5.2 25.1 67.3 Average 60.5^D 0.0 2.4 6.0 27.5^ABC 63.7^BCD  DeKalb 3 61.7 0.0 3.9 6.7 17.8 71.5 White 4 62.2 0.3 1.8 6.0 19.4 72.1 Average 62.0^BC 0.1 2.8 6.4 18.6^D 71.8^ABC  Hy-Line 3 60.2 0.0 4.0 5.7 28.4 61.9 (W-36) 4 60.4 0.7 3.9 6.3 28.3 60.4 Average 60.3^D 0.4 3.9 6.0 28.4^ABC 61.1^CD  Hy-Line 3 64.6 0.0 1.7 4.7 14.1 79.3 (W-98) 4 64.0 0.0 1.4 6.3 12.7 79.2 Average 64.3^A 0.0 1.6 5.5 13.4^D 79.2^A  CV-20 3 60.1 0.6 3.8 4.6 29.7 61.2 Average 59.9^D 0.4 3.4 5.3 32.5^A 58.2^D  ISA 3 59.7 0.1 3.0 8.0 29.5 59.2 White Exp. 4 60.1 0.1 2.8 6.3 28.9 61.5 Average 59.9^D 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2^AB 60.3^D  All 3 61.3 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6	White	4	61.2	0.0	2.7	5.0		
White Exp.         4         63.7 Average         0.0         1.8 3.6 3.7         13.9 80.7 75.6^AB           Bovans         3         61.0 0.0 1.1 6.0 27.9 64.4         64.4           White         4         60.8 0.0 3.2 6.1 29.8 60.7         60.7 29.8 60.7           Average         60.9°D 0.0 2.1 6.0 28.8^ABC 62.6^BCD         62.6^BCD           DeKalb         3         60.0 0.0 2.8 6.9 30.0 60.1           White Exp.         4         61.0 0.1 2.1 5.2 25.1 67.3           Average         60.5 <sup>D</sup> 0.0 2.4 6.0 27.5^ABC 63.7^BCD           DeKalb         3         61.7 0.0 3.9 6.7 17.8 71.5           White         4         62.2 0.3 1.8 6.0 19.4 72.1           Average         62.0 <sup>BC</sup> 0.1 2.8 6.4 18.6 <sup>D</sup> 71.8^ABC           Hy-Line         3         60.2 0.0 4.0 5.7 28.4 61.9           (W-36)         4         60.4 0.7 3.9 6.3 28.3 60.4           Average         60.3 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.9 6.0 28.4^ABC 61.1^CD           Hy-Line         3         64.6 0.0 1.7 4.7 14.1 79.3           (W-98)         4         64.0 0.0 1.7 4.7 14.1 79.3           (W-98)         4         64.0 0.0 1.4 6.3 12.7 79.2 Average           CV-20         3         60.1 0.6 3.8 4.6 29.7 61.2 4.7 59.2 Average           59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.4 5.3 32.5 58.2 D		Average	61.4 <sup>BCD</sup>	0.0	3.0	4.7	21.5 <sup>BCD</sup>	70.7 <sup>ABCD</sup>
Bovans 3 61.0 0.0 1.6 3.7 18.8 <sup>CD</sup> 75.6 <sup>AB</sup> White 4 60.8 0.0 3.2 6.1 29.8 60.7 Average 60.9 <sup>CD</sup> 0.0 2.1 6.0 28.8 <sup>ABC</sup> 62.6 <sup>BCD</sup> DeKalb 3 60.0 0.1 2.1 5.2 25.1 67.3 Average 60.5 <sup>D</sup> 0.0 2.4 6.0 27.5 <sup>ABC</sup> 63.7 <sup>BCD</sup> DeKalb 3 61.7 0.0 3.9 6.7 17.8 71.5 White 4 62.2 0.3 1.8 6.0 19.4 72.1 Average 62.0 <sup>BC</sup> 0.1 2.8 6.4 18.6 <sup>D</sup> 71.8 <sup>ABC</sup> Hy-Line 3 60.2 0.0 4.0 5.7 28.4 61.9 (W-36) 4 60.4 0.7 3.9 6.3 28.3 60.4 Average 60.3 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.9 6.0 28.4 <sup>ABC</sup> 61.1 <sup>CD</sup> Hy-Line 3 64.6 0.0 1.7 4.7 14.1 79.3 (W-98) 4 64.0 0.0 1.4 6.3 12.7 79.2 Average 64.3 <sup>A</sup> 0.0 1.6 5.5 13.4 <sup>D</sup> 79.2 <sup>A</sup> CV-20 3 60.1 0.6 3.8 4.6 29.7 61.2 Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.4 5.3 32.5 <sup>A</sup> 58.2 <sup>D</sup> ISA 3 59.7 0.1 3.0 8.0 29.5 59.2 White Exp. 4 60.1 0.1 2.8 6.3 28.9 61.5 Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All 3 61.3 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6								
Bovans 3 61.0 0.0 1.1 6.0 27.9 64.4 White 4 60.8 0.0 3.2 6.1 29.8 60.7 Average 60.9 <sup>CD</sup> 0.0 2.1 6.0 28.8 <sup>ABC</sup> 62.6 <sup>BCD</sup> 62.6 <sup>BCD</sup> 60.9 60.0 0.0 2.1 6.0 28.8 <sup>ABC</sup> 62.6 <sup>BCD</sup> 62.6 <sup>BCD</sup> 60.0 60.0 60.0 60.0 60.0 60.1 60.0 60.0	White Exp.	4						
White         4         60.8 Average         0.0         3.2 does         6.1 does         29.8 does         60.7 does         60.7 does         60.0 does		Average	63.2 <sup>AB</sup>	0.0	1.6	3.7	18.8 <sup>CD</sup>	75.6 <sup>AB</sup>
DeKalb         3         60.0         0.0         2.1         6.0         28.8^{ABC}         62.6^{BCD}           White Exp.         4         61.0         0.1         2.1         5.2         25.1         67.3           Average         60.5 <sup>D</sup> 0.0         2.4         6.0         27.5 <sup>ABC</sup> 63.7 <sup>BCD</sup> DeKalb         3         61.7         0.0         3.9         6.7         17.8         71.5           White         4         62.2         0.3         1.8         6.0         19.4         72.1           My-Line         3         60.2         0.3         1.8         6.0         19.4         72.1           Hy-Line         3         60.2         0.0         4.0         5.7         28.4         61.9           (W-36)         4         60.4         0.7         3.9         6.3         28.3         60.4           Hy-Line         3         64.6         0.0         1.7         4.7         14.1         79.3           (W-98)         4         64.0         0.0         1.7         4.7         14.1         79.2           Average         64.3 <sup>A</sup> 0.0         1.6         5.5								
DeKalb 3 60.0 0.0 2.8 6.9 30.0 60.1 White Exp. 4 61.0 0.1 2.1 5.2 25.1 67.3 Average 60.5 <sup>D</sup> 0.0 2.4 6.0 27.5 <sup>ABC</sup> 63.7 <sup>BCD</sup> 63.7 <sup>BCD</sup> EKalb 3 61.7 0.0 3.9 6.7 17.8 71.5 White 4 62.2 0.3 1.8 6.0 19.4 72.1 Average 62.0 <sup>BC</sup> 0.1 2.8 6.4 18.6 <sup>D</sup> 71.8 <sup>ABC</sup> (W-36) 4 60.4 0.7 3.9 6.3 28.3 60.4 Average 60.3 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.9 6.0 28.4 <sup>ABC</sup> 61.1 <sup>CD</sup> Hy-Line 3 64.6 0.0 1.7 4.7 14.1 79.3 (W-98) 4 64.0 0.0 1.4 6.3 12.7 79.2 Average 64.3 <sup>A</sup> 0.0 1.6 5.5 13.4 <sup>D</sup> 79.2 <sup>A</sup> CV-20 3 60.1 0.6 3.8 4.6 29.7 61.2 Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.4 5.3 32.5 <sup>A</sup> 58.2 <sup>D</sup> ISA 3 59.7 0.1 3.0 8.0 29.5 59.2 White Exp. 4 60.1 0.1 2.8 6.3 28.9 61.5 Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All 3 61.3 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6	White	4						
White Exp.       4 Average       61.0 60.5D       0.1 0.0       2.1 2.4       5.2 6.0       25.1 27.5ABC       67.3 63.7BCD         DeKalb       3 White       61.7 4 Average       0.0 62.0BC       3.9 0.1       6.7 1.8 2.8       17.8 6.0 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 72.1 22.8       71.8 6.4 6.0 18.6D       71.8ABC         Hy-Line (W-36)       3 4 4 Average       60.2 60.3D 60.3D       0.0 0.4 0.7 3.9 0.4 3.9 0.4 3.9 0.6 6.3 6.3 6.3 28.3 60.4 28.4ABC 61.1CD         Hy-Line (W-98)       3 4 64.0 64.0 Average       64.6 64.3A 0.0 0.0 1.6       1.7 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.7 1.4 1.4 1.7 1.3 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.6 1.3 1.6 1.3 1.6 1.3 1.6 1.3 1.6 1.3 1.6 1.3 1.6 1.3 1.3 1.6 1.3 1.6 1.3 1.		Average	60.9 <sup>CD</sup>	0.0	2.1	6.0	28.8 <sup>ABC</sup>	62.6 <sup>BCD</sup>
Average       60.5 <sup>D</sup> 0.0       2.4       6.0       27.5 <sup>ABC</sup> 63.7 <sup>BCD</sup> DeKalb       3       61.7       0.0       3.9       6.7       17.8       71.5         White       4       62.2       0.3       1.8       6.0       19.4       72.1         Average       62.0 <sup>BC</sup> 0.1       2.8       6.4       18.6 <sup>D</sup> 71.8 <sup>ABC</sup> Hy-Line       3       60.2       0.0       4.0       5.7       28.4       61.9         (W-36)       4       60.4       0.7       3.9       6.3       28.3       60.4         Average       60.3 <sup>D</sup> 0.4       3.9       6.0       28.4 <sup>ABC</sup> 61.1 <sup>CD</sup> Hy-Line       3       64.6       0.0       1.7       4.7       14.1       79.3         (W-98)       4       64.0       0.0       1.4       6.3       12.7       79.2         Average       64.3 <sup>A</sup> 0.0       1.6       5.5       13.4 <sup>D</sup> 79.2 <sup>A</sup> CV-20       3       60.1       0.6       3.8       4.6       29.7       61.2         4       59.6       0.1       3.1       6.0       35.3								
DeKalb  3 61.7 0.0 3.9 6.7 17.8 71.5 White  4 62.2 0.3 1.8 6.0 19.4 72.1 Average  62.0 <sup>BC</sup> 0.1 2.8 6.4 18.6 <sup>D</sup> 71.8 <sup>ABC</sup> Hy-Line 3 60.2 0.0 4.0 5.7 28.4 61.9 (W-36) 4 60.4 0.7 3.9 6.3 28.3 60.4 Average  60.3 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.9 6.0 28.4 <sup>ABC</sup> 61.1 <sup>CD</sup> Hy-Line 3 64.6 0.0 1.7 4.7 14.1 79.3 (W-98) 4 64.0 0.0 1.4 6.3 12.7 79.2 Average  64.3 <sup>A</sup> 0.0 1.6 5.5 13.4 <sup>D</sup> 79.2 <sup>A</sup> CV-20 3 60.1 0.6 3.8 4.6 29.7 61.2 4 59.6 0.1 3.1 6.0 35.3 55.3 Average  59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.9 6.3 28.9 61.5 Average  59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1 2.8 6.3 28.9 61.5 Average  59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1 2.8 6.3 28.9 61.5 Average  59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All  3 61.3 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6	White Exp.							
White       4 Average       62.2 62.0BC       0.3 0.1       1.8 2.8       6.0 6.4       19.4 18.6D       72.1 71.8ABC         Hy-Line       3 (W-36)       60.2 4 4 Average       0.0 60.3D       4.0 0.4 0.7 0.4       5.7 3.9 3.9 6.3 6.3 6.3 28.3 28.3 60.4 28.4ABC       60.4 61.1CD         Hy-Line       3 (W-98)       64.6 4 4 64.0 Average       0.0 64.3A 0.0       1.7 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.6 1.3 1.6 1.2 3.5 3.2<		Average	60.5 <sup>D</sup>	0.0	2.4	6.0	27.5 <sup>ABC</sup>	63.7 <sup>BCD</sup>
Hy-Line       3       60.2       0.0       4.0       5.7       28.4       61.9         (W-36)       4       60.4       0.7       3.9       6.3       28.3       60.4         (W-36)       4       60.4       0.7       3.9       6.3       28.3       60.4         Average       60.3 <sup>D</sup> 0.4       3.9       6.0       28.4 <sup>ABC</sup> 61.1 <sup>CD</sup> Hy-Line       3       64.6       0.0       1.7       4.7       14.1       79.3         (W-98)       4       64.0       0.0       1.4       6.3       12.7       79.2         Average       64.3 <sup>A</sup> 0.0       1.6       5.5       13.4 <sup>D</sup> 79.2 <sup>A</sup> CV-20       3       60.1       0.6       3.8       4.6       29.7       61.2         4       59.6       0.1       3.1       6.0       35.3       55.3         Average       59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4       3.4       5.3       32.5 <sup>A</sup> 58.2 <sup>D</sup> ISA       3       59.7       0.1       3.0       8.0       29.5       59.2         White Exp.       4       60.1       0.1       2.8       6.3       28.9	DeKalb		61.7	0.0	3.9	6.7	17.8	71.5
Hy-Line 3 60.2 0.0 4.0 5.7 28.4 61.9 (W-36) 4 60.4 0.7 3.9 6.3 28.3 60.4 Average 60.3 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.9 6.0 28.4 <sup>ABC</sup> 61.1 <sup>CD</sup> Hy-Line 3 64.6 0.0 1.7 4.7 14.1 79.3 (W-98) 4 64.0 0.0 1.4 6.3 12.7 79.2 Average 64.3 <sup>A</sup> 0.0 1.6 5.5 13.4 <sup>D</sup> 79.2 <sup>A</sup> CV-20 3 60.1 0.6 3.8 4.6 29.7 61.2 4 59.6 0.1 3.1 6.0 35.3 55.3 Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.4 5.3 32.5 <sup>A</sup> 58.2 <sup>D</sup> ISA 3 59.7 0.1 3.0 8.0 29.5 59.2 White Exp. 4 60.1 0.1 2.8 6.3 28.9 61.5 Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All 3 61.3 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6	White	4		0.3	1.8	6.0		
(W-36)		Average	62.0 <sup>BC</sup>	0.1	2.8	6.4	18.6 <sup>D</sup>	71.8 <sup>ABC</sup>
Hy-Line       3       64.6       0.0       1.7       4.7       14.1       79.3         (W-98)       4       64.0       0.0       1.4       6.3       12.7       79.2         Average       64.3 <sup>A</sup> 0.0       1.6       5.5       13.4 <sup>D</sup> 79.2 <sup>A</sup> CV-20       3       60.1       0.6       3.8       4.6       29.7       61.2         4       59.6       0.1       3.1       6.0       35.3       55.3         Average       59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4       3.4       5.3       32.5 <sup>A</sup> 58.2 <sup>D</sup> ISA       3       59.7       0.1       3.0       8.0       29.5       59.2         White Exp.       4       60.1       0.1       2.8       6.3       28.9       61.5         Average       59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1       2.9       7.1       29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All       3       61.3       0.1       2.8       5.6       24.7       66.6				0.0	4.0			
Hy-Line 3 64.6 0.0 1.7 4.7 14.1 79.3 (W-98) 4 64.0 0.0 1.4 6.3 12.7 79.2 Average 64.3 0.0 1.6 5.5 13.4 79.2 61.2 4 59.6 0.1 3.1 6.0 35.3 55.3 Average 59.9 0.4 3.4 5.3 32.5 59.2 White Exp. 4 60.1 0.1 2.8 6.3 28.9 61.5 Average 59.9 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2 AB 60.3 AVerage 59.9 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6	(W-36)	4		0.7				
(W-98)       4       64.0       0.0       1.4       6.3       12.7       79.2         Average       64.3 <sup>A</sup> 0.0       1.6       5.5       13.4 <sup>D</sup> 79.2 <sup>A</sup> CV-20       3       60.1       0.6       3.8       4.6       29.7       61.2         4       59.6       0.1       3.1       6.0       35.3       55.3         Average       59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4       3.4       5.3       32.5 <sup>A</sup> 58.2 <sup>D</sup> ISA       3       59.7       0.1       3.0       8.0       29.5       59.2         White Exp.       4       60.1       0.1       2.8       6.3       28.9       61.5         Average       59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1       2.9       7.1       29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All		Average	60.3 <sup>D</sup>	0.4	3.9	6.0	28.4 <sup>ABC</sup>	61.1 <sup>CD</sup>
Average       64.3A       0.0       1.6       5.5       13.4D       79.2A         CV-20       3       60.1       0.6       3.8       4.6       29.7       61.2         4       59.6       0.1       3.1       6.0       35.3       55.3         Average       59.9D       0.4       3.4       5.3       32.5A       58.2D         ISA       3       59.7       0.1       3.0       8.0       29.5       59.2         White Exp.       4       60.1       0.1       2.8       6.3       28.9       61.5         Average       59.9D       0.1       2.9       7.1       29.2AB       60.3D         All       3       61.3       0.1       2.8       5.6       24.7       66.6	Hy-Line				1.7			
CV-20 3 60.1 0.6 3.8 4.6 29.7 61.2 4 59.6 0.1 3.1 6.0 35.3 55.3 Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.4 5.3 32.5 <sup>A</sup> 58.2 <sup>D</sup> ISA 3 59.7 0.1 3.0 8.0 29.5 59.2 White Exp. 4 60.1 0.1 2.8 6.3 28.9 61.5 Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All 3 61.3 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6	(W-98)	4		0.0	1.4	6.3		
4     59.6     0.1     3.1     6.0     35.3     55.3       Average     59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4     3.4     5.3     32.5 <sup>A</sup> 58.2 <sup>D</sup> ISA     3     59.7     0.1     3.0     8.0     29.5     59.2       White Exp.     4     60.1     0.1     2.8     6.3     28.9     61.5       Average     59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1     2.9     7.1     29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All     3     61.3     0.1     2.8     5.6     24.7     66.6		Average	64.3 <sup>A</sup>	0.0	1.6	5.5	13.4 <sup>D</sup>	79.2 <sup>A</sup>
Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.4 3.4 5.3 32.5 <sup>A</sup> 58.2 <sup>D</sup> ISA 3 59.7 0.1 3.0 8.0 29.5 59.2  White Exp. 4 60.1 0.1 2.8 6.3 28.9 61.5  Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All 3 61.3 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6	CV-20		60.1	0.6	3.8	4.6	29.7	61.2
ISA 3 59.7 0.1 3.0 8.0 29.5 59.2 White Exp. 4 60.1 0.1 2.8 6.3 28.9 61.5 Average 59.9 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2 AB 60.3 D All 3 61.3 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6		4			3.1			
White Exp.       4       60.1       0.1       2.8       6.3       28.9       61.5         Average       59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1       2.9       7.1       29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All       3       61.3       0.1       2.8       5.6       24.7       66.6		Average	59.9 <sup>D</sup>	0.4	3.4	5.3	32.5 <sup>A</sup>	58.2 <sup>D</sup>
Average 59.9 <sup>D</sup> 0.1 2.9 7.1 29.2 <sup>AB</sup> 60.3 <sup>D</sup> All 3 61.3 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6					3.0	8.0	29.5	59.2
All 3 61.3 0.1 2.8 5.6 24.7 66.6	White Exp.	4						
		Average	59.9 <sup>D</sup>	0.1	2.9	7.1	29.2 <sup>AB</sup>	60.3 <sup>D</sup>
	All	3	61.3	0.1	2.8	5.6	24.7	66.6
Strains 4 61.4 0.1 2.5 5.6 23.9 67.5	Strains	4	61.4	0.1	2.5	5.6	23.9	67.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All strains were housed at a constant density of: 413 cm<sup>2</sup> equals 64 in<sup>2</sup>.

 $A,B,C,D - Different \ letters \ denote \ significant \ differences \ (P<.01), comparisons \ made \ among \ strain \ average \ values.$ 

TABLE 3. EFFECT OF WHITE EGG STRAIN AND POPULATION ON EGG QUALITY, INCOME AND FEED COSTS OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN STAIR-STEP CAGES (HOUSE 5)

	(HOUSE 3)	Grade	Grade			Egg	Feed
Breeder	Population <sup>1</sup>	A	В	Cracks	Loss	Income	Costs
(Strain)	-	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(\$/hen)	(\$/hen)
LSL-Lite	3	96.0	2.4	1.1	0.0	25.07	10.25
White	4	92.5	4.6	2.2	0.0	25.06	10.11
	Average	94.2	3.5	1.7	0.0	25.07	10.18 <sup>AB</sup>
Bovans	3	94.7	2.1	3.1	0.2	25.32	9.71
White Exp.	4	94.9	0.7	4.1	0.3	24.93	9.83
-	Average	94.8	1.4	3.6	0.2	25.12	9.77 <sup>ABC</sup>
Bovans	3	93.8	4.0	2.1	0.5	24.60	10.15
White	4	95.9	1.9	1.9	0.0	24.05	10.13
VV IIICC	Average	94.8	3.0	2.0	0.3	24.33	10.02 <sup>AB</sup>
	Tiverage	<i>y</i> o	2.0	0	0.0	2	10.00
DeKalb	3	94.9	2.6	2.2	0.2	25.31	9.91
White Exp.	4	93.9	2.8	3.0	0.3	24.93	9.68
	Average	94.4	2.7	2.6	0.3	25.12	9.80 <sup>AB</sup>
DeKalb	3	94.3	2.6	2.9	0.2	23.41	9.46
White	4	94.1	2.5	3.0	0.4	24.62	9.58
	Average	94.2	2.6	2.9	0.3	24.01	9.52 <sup>BC</sup>
	_						
Hy-Line	3	95.7	2.2	2.2	0.2	25.09	9.41
(W-36)	4	96.7	1.4	1.7	0.1	24.45	9.29
	Average	96.2	1.8	1.9	0.1	24.77	9.35 <sup>BC</sup>
Hy-Line	3	92.3	3.7	4.0	0.0	25.14	10.74
(W-98)	4	94.0	2.7	3.4	0.2	24.51	9.96
	Average	93.2	3.2	3.7	0.1	24.83	10.35 <sup>A</sup>
CV-20	3	94.7	1.7	3.2	0.2	24.47	9.35
	4	96.0	1.6	2.1	0.1	25.10	8.89
	Average	95.3	1.7	2.7	0.1	24.79	9.12 <sup>C</sup>
ISA	3	96.0	1.7	2.6	0.1	24.81	9.17
White Exp.	4	95.0	2.2	2.7	0.1	24.61	9.17
mic Exp.	Average	95.5	1.9	2.6	0.1	24.71	9.18 <sup>C</sup>
A 11	2	04.7	2.6	2.6	0.2	24.00	0.70
All Strains	3	94.7	2.6	2.6	0.2	24.80	9.79
Strains	4	94.8	2.3	2.7	0.2	24.70	9.62

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All strains were housed at a constant density of: 413 cm<sup>2</sup> equals 64 in<sup>2</sup>.

A,B,C - Different letters denote significant differences (P<.01), comparisons made among strain average values.

TABLE 4. EFFECT OF BROWN EGG STRAIN AND POPULATION ON PERFORMANCE OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN STAIR-STEP CAGES (HOUSE 5)

				Eggs			
		Feed	Feed	Per Bird	Egg	Egg	
Breeder	Population <sup>1</sup>	Consumption	Conversion	Housed	Production	Mass	Mortality
(Strain)		(kg/100/hen/d)	(g egg/g feed)		(HD%)	(g/HD)	(%)
Bovans	3	11.0	0.49	378.6	85.2	55.7	8.8 <sup>b</sup>
Brown	4	11.0	0.48	373.9	84.4	55.3	7.3 <sup>b</sup>
	Average	11.0 <sup>A</sup>	0.49	376.3	84.8	55.5 <sup>AB</sup>	8.0
Bovans	3	12.2	0.47	358.1	86.0	59.1	22.9 <sup>a</sup>
Goldline	4	10.9	0.50	384.0	84.5	56.6	1.6 <sup>b</sup>
	Average	11.5 <sup>A</sup>	0.48	371.1	85.2	57.8 <sup>A</sup>	12.3
Hy-Line	3	10.2	0.5	370.2	82.4	53.2	2.8 <sup>b</sup>
Brown	4	10.1	0.51	365.1	82.8	53.7	$7.5^{\rm b}$
	Average	10.1 <sup>B</sup>	0.51	367.6	82.6	53.4 <sup>B</sup>	5.2
All	3	11.1	0.49	369.0	84.5	56.0	11.5
Strains	4	10.7	0.50	374.3	83.9	55.2	5.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All strains were housed at a constant density of: 413 cm<sup>2</sup> equals 64 in<sup>2</sup>.

A,B - Different letters denote significant differences (P<.01), comparisons made among strain average values.

a,b - Different letters denote significant differences (P<.01), comparisons made among strain by population interactions.

TABLE 5. EFFECT OF BROWN EGG STRAIN AND POPULATION ON EGG WEIGHT AND EGG SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN STAIR-STEP CAGES (HOUSE 5)

		Egg	Pee				Extra
Breeder	Population <sup>1</sup>	Weight	Wee	Small	Medium	Large	Large
(Strain)		(g egg/hen/d)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Bovans	3	62.8	0.2	1.6	5.8	21.4	70.9
Brown	4	63.0	0.0	1.1	4.9	19.9	73.7
	Average	62.9 <sup>AB</sup>	0.1	1.3	5.3	20.6	72.3
Bovans	3	65.6	0.0	1.4	2.4	14.5	81.4
Goldline	4	64.0	0.0	0.2	5.5	19.6	74.4
	Average	64.8 <sup>A</sup>	0.0	0.8	3.9	17.0	77.9
Hy-Line	3	61.8	0.0	1.0	5.6	24.0	69.1
Brown	4	61.9	0.0	1.2	5.3	22.6	70.9
	Average	61.8 <sup>B</sup>	0.0	1.1	5.5	23.3	70.0
All	3	63.4	0.1	1.3	4.6	19.9	73.8
Strains	4	63.0	0.0	0.8	5.2	20.7	73.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All strains were housed at a constant density of: 413 cm<sup>2</sup> equals 64 in<sup>2</sup>.

A,B - Different letters denote significant differences (P<.01), comparisons made among strain average values.

TABLE 6. EFFECT OF BROWN EGG STRAIN AND POPULATION ON EGG QUALITY, INCOME AND FEED COSTS OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN STAIR-STEP CAGES (HOUSE 5)

		Grade	Grade			Egg	Feed
Breeder	Population <sup>1</sup>	A	В	Cracks	Loss	Income	Costs
(Strain)		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(\$/hen)	(\$/hen)
Bovans	3	95.6	1.9	2.4	0.1	25.96	10.24
Brown	4	94.3	2.0	3.4	0.3	25.54	10.20
	Average	95.0	2.0	2.9	0.2	25.75	10.22 <sup>A</sup>
Bovans	3	94.2	2.9	2.9	0.2	24.58	10.52
Goldline	4	95.3	2.1	2.3	0.3	26.43	10.35
	Average	94.7	2.5	2.6	0.3	25.50	10.43 <sup>A</sup>
Hy-Line	3	95.8	2.1	1.9	0.2	25.44	9.59
Brown	4	95.8	2.5	1.5	0.1	25.17	9.38
	Average	95.8	2.3	1.7	0.2	25.31	$9.49^{B}$
All	3	95.2	2.3	2.4	0.2	25.32	10.12
Strains	4	95.2	2.2	2.4	0.3	25.71	9.97

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All strains were housed at a constant density of: 413 cm<sup>2</sup> equals 64 in<sup>2</sup>.

A,B - Different letters denote significant differences (P<.01), comparisons made among strain average values.

TABLE 7. EFFECT OF WHITE EGG STRAIN ON PERFORMANCE OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN BATTERY CAGES (HOUSE 7)

	•	,	Eggs			
	Feed	Feed	Per Bird	Egg	Egg	
Breeder	Consumption	Conversion	Housed	Production	Mass	Mortality
(Strain)	(kg/100/hen/d)	(g egg/g feed)		(HD%)	(g/HD)	(%)
LSL-Lite White	9.9 <sup>AB</sup>	0.54	390.8	86.9 <sup>A</sup>	55.2 <sup>A</sup>	3.0
Bovans White Exp.	9.9 <sup>AB</sup>	0.52	373.5	83.9 <sup>AB</sup>	53.7 <sup>AB</sup>	8.6
Bovans White	10.0 <sup>AB</sup>	0.52	364.4	86.8 <sup>A</sup>	54.4 <sup>A</sup>	16.9
DeKalb White Exp.	10.0 <sup>AB</sup>	0.52	377.4	84.4 <sup>AB</sup>	53.4 <sup>AB</sup>	3.6
DeKalb White	10.0 <sup>AB</sup>	0.52	361.2	84.3 <sup>AB</sup>	54.9 <sup>A</sup>	15.8
Hy-Line (W-36)	9.4 <sup>BC</sup>	0.51	356.7	80.3 <sup>C</sup>	50.6 <sup>C</sup>	5.1
Hy-Line (W-98)	10.4 <sup>A</sup>	0.50	372.3	82.2 <sup>BC</sup>	53.9 <sup>A</sup>	1.2
CV-20	9.1 <sup>°</sup>	0.53	371.1	82.7 <sup>BC</sup>	51.0 <sup>BC</sup>	4.6
ISA White Exp.	9.6 <sup>BC</sup>	0.53	367.1	83.9 <sup>AB</sup>	53.1 <sup>ABC</sup>	9.6

A,B,C - Different letters denote significant differences (P<.01), comparisons made among strain average values.

TABLE 8. EFFECT OF WHITE EGG STRAIN AND POPULATION ON EGG WEIGHT AND EGG SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN BATTERY CAGES (HOUSE 7)

	Egg	Pee				Extra
Breeder	Weight	Wee	Small	Medium	Large	Large
(Strain)	(g egg/hen/d)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
LSL-Lite White	60.8 <sup>BCD</sup>	0.1	0.8	6.8	26.5	65.7 <sup>ABC</sup>
Bovans White Exp.	61.4 <sup>BC</sup>	0.0	1.0	6.7	25.1	67.1 <sup>ABC</sup>
Bovans White	60.1 <sup>CD</sup>	0.1	2.0	6.8	29.9	60.8 <sup>BC</sup>
DeKalb White Exp.	60.7 <sup>BCD</sup>	0.1	0.9	5.1	28.6	64.7 <sup>ABC</sup>
DeKalb White	62.2 <sup>AB</sup>	0.1	0.5	4.6	21.0	73.5 <sup>AB</sup>
Hy-Line (W-36)	60.0 <sup>CD</sup>	0.2	1.9	7.1	28.5	61.8 <sup>BC</sup>
Hy-Line (W-98)	63.4 <sup>A</sup>	0.0	0.5	4.2	17.2	77.6 <sup>A</sup>
CV-20	58.9 <sup>D</sup>	0.2	2.6	7.3	32.8	57.0 <sup>°</sup>
ISA White Exp.	59.9 <sup>CD</sup>	0.2	1.4	6.6	31.6	60.0 <sup>C</sup>

A,B,C,D - Different letters denote significant differences (P<.01), comparisons made among strain average values.

TABLE 9. EFFECT OF WHITE EGG STRAIN AND POPULATION ON EGG QUALITY, INCOME AND FEED COSTS OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN BATTERY CAGES (HOUSE 7)

	Grade	Grade			Egg	Feed
Breeder	A	В	Cracks	Loss	Income	Costs
(Strain)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(\$/hen)	(\$/hen)
LSL-Lite White	95.8	1.7	2.4	0.1	26.86	9.34
Bovans White Exp.	95.5	1.7	2.4	0.4	25.61	9.19
Bovans White	95.6	2.0	2.2	0.2	24.78	8.85
DeKalb White Exp.	94.7	1.9	3.0	0.3	25.68	9.33
DeKalb White	94.0	3.6	2.3	0.1	24.69	8.99
Hy-Line (W-36)	95.1	2.0	2.1	0.8	24.06	8.75
Hy-Line (W-98)	94.0	2.3	3.3	0.3	25.49	9.83
CV-20	95.7	1.7	2.5	0.0	25.20	8.66
ISA White Exp.	94.3	2.1	3.1	0.5	24.82	8.80

A,B,C,D - Different letters denote significant differences (P<.01), comparisons made among strain average values.

TABLE 10. EFFECT OF BROWN EGG STRAIN ON PERFORMANCE OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN BATTERY CAGES (HOUSE 7)

			Eggs			
	Feed	Feed	Per Bird	Egg	Egg	
Breeder	Consumption	Conversion	Housed	Production	Mass	Mortality
(Strain)	(kg/100/hen/d)	(g egg/g feed)		(HD%)	(g/HD)	(%)
Bovans Brown	10.4	0.52	382.1	86.8	55.7	7.1
Bovans Goldline	10.1	0.52	373.5	83.7	54.7	7.1
Hy-Line Brown	9.5	0.54	375.7	83.2	53.1	3.6

TABLE 11. EFFECT OF BROWN EGG STRAIN AND POPULATION ON EGG WEIGHT AND EGG SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN BATTERY CAGES (HOUSE 7)

Breeder	Egg Weight	Pee Wee	Small	Medium	Large	Extra Large
(Strain)	(g egg/hen/d)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Bovans Brown	61.5	0.2	0.7	4.5	25.7	68.4
Bovans Goldline	62.4	0.0	0.5	5.2	19.6	74.4
Hy-Line Brown	61.2	0.1	0.7	7.9	26.1	65.0

TABLE 12. EFFECT OF BROWN EGG STRAIN AND POPULATION ON EGG QUALITY, INCOME AND FEED COSTS OF HENS IN THE 36th NCLP&MT (119-574 DAYS) IN BATTERY CAGES (HOUSE 7)

	Grade	Grade			Egg	Feed
Breeder	A	В	Cracks	Loss	Income	Costs
(Strain)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(\$/hen)	(\$/hen)
Bovans Brown	95.3	1.7	2.8	0.2	26.21	9.77
Bovans Goldline	94.6	2.0	3.2	0.2	25.66	9.67
Hy-Line Brown	96.2	2.0	1.6	0.2	25.78	9.09

TABLE 13. ENTRIES IN THE 36<sup>TH</sup> NCLP&MT BY BREEDER, STOCK SUPPLIERS, AND CATEGORIES

Breeder	Stock	Category <sup>1</sup>	Source
Hy-Line International P.O. Box 310 Dallas Center, IA 50063	W-36	I-A	Hy-Line International 4432 Highway 213, Box 309 Mansfield, GA 30255
	Hy-Line Brown	I-A	(Same)
	W-98	I-A	Hy-Line North America 79 Industrial Rd E-town, PA 17022
	CV-20	I-A	(Same)
Lohmann Tierzucht Inc., N.A.	Lohmann		
2433 Bethany Rd Sycamore, IL 60178	LSL-Lite	I-A	Hy-Line North America 79 Industrial Rd E-town, PA 17022
Centurion Poultry 1471 Lane Creek Road Bogart, GA 30622	Bovans White	I-A	Centurion Poultry Inc. P.O. Box 591 86 O'Neal Road Lexington, GA 3064822
	<b>Bovans White</b>		_
	Experimental	III-A	(Same)
	Bovans Brown	I-A	(Same)
	Bovans Goldline	I-A	(Same)
Centurion Poultry 1471 Lane Creek Road Bogart, GA 30622	Dekalb White	I-A	Centurion Poultry Inc. P.O. Box 591 86 O'Neal Road Lexington, GA 3064822
	Dekalb White		Dealington, G11 500 1022
	Experimental	III-A	(Same)
ISA North America Box 400 Cambridge, Ontario N1R 5V9 Canada	ISA White Experimental	III-A	Cox Brothers Poultry Farm R.R. #1 Maitland, Nova Scotia B0N 1T0 Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I = Extensive distribution in southeast United States

II = Little or no distribution in southeast United States

III = Unavailable for commercial distribution in United States

A = Entry requested

C = Entry <u>not</u> requested